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#### Abstract

Cynoglossum virginianum L., now transferred to the genus Andersonglossum as A. virginianum (L.) J.I.Cohen, has been traditionally considered to be formed by two infraspecific taxa: var. virginianum, and var. boreale (Fernald) Cooperr. The var. boreale was originally described as an independent species, Cynoglossum boreale Fernald, and remained treated as such until its combination as a variety of C. virginianum. However, no analysis exists that objectively compares both taxa in order to properly assess their taxonomic relationships. We performed a morphometric study to help elucidate their taxonomy. We found that both species are distinguishable using a few characters, despite slight overlap in nutlet length, corolla diameter, and calyx length, all traditionally considered to identify both taxa. The relatively large amount of variation in calyx dimensions seems to be the reason for most recent authors treating the two taxa at varietal rank. We provide the new combination Andersonglossum boreale (Fernald) Jim.Mejías, J.I.Cohen \& Naczi to allow treating Cynoglossum boreale as a distinct species under its new generic circumscription.
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## Introduction

Recent phylogenetic works have demonstrated that the genus Cynoglossum Linnaeus (1753: 134) as traditionally conceived was polyphyletic (Weigend et al. 2013, Cohen 2014, Otero et al. 2014, Chacón et al. 2016). The new genus Andersonglossum J.I.Cohen (2015: 618) was recently described to accommodate some of the native North American species of Cynoglossum. In the original publication, Cohen (2015) intended the combination of the names Cynoglossum boreale Fernald (1905:250) and Cynoglossum virginianum Linnaeus (1753: 134) under Andersonglossum. Unfortunately, the combination of $A$. boreale was incorrectly made and, consequently, is invalid. In particular, the proposed new combination was contra the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature Art. 36 (see also example 1) (McNeill et al. 2012), since the author explicitly did not accept "Andersonglossum boreale" as a species distinct from A. virginianum (L.) J.I.Cohen (2016: 618), despite wanting others to have the opportunity to use the name if desired.

The circumscription of Cynoglossum boreale is indeed controversial. Until its combination under C. virginianum, most floristic works recorded both taxa as species: e.g., Fernald (1950), Gleason (1952), Gleason \& Cronquist (1963), Scoggan (1979). After the former species was combined as Cynoglossum virginianum var. boreale (Fernald) Cooperr. (1984: 166), most authors have recorded it at varietal rank. In his combination, Cooperrider alleged that "it has been my experience that there is in fact extensive intergradation in all [...] characters", and cited Fernald (1950), "there is considerable overlap in the ranges of the two taxa". Since then, not much has been discussed concerning the morphological limits between C. boreale and C. virginianum.

Considerable herbarium digitalization efforts have proceeded in recent years to make available information provided by museum specimens to a broader public. However, these materials are rarely used beyond mere comparison when identifying specimens or for the designation or recognition of type material.

During preparation of the New Manual of Vascular Plants of Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada (Naczi et al. in prep., Naczi 2016), we noted the need to treat C. boreale under its new generic circumscription as either a species or a variety under $A$. virginianum. We perform a straightforward morphometric study using as the main
source online data available-digitized specimens-in order to ascertain if both taxa are sufficiently distinct to be considered different species or, on the contrary, if they are part of a morphologic grade and, therefore, better considered as varieties of a single species.

## Materials and methods

For the sake of simplicity and to avoid continued nomenclatural confusion, and according to our own results, we will refer to the two studied species as Andersonglossum boreale and $A$. virginianum, even if we are citing a work where the authors refer to the taxa under Cynoglossum or at varietal rank.

Fernald (1905) distinguished $A$. boreale from $A$. virginianum by four quantitative characters that have been considered in all subsequent works that recorded this taxon: the shorter calyx length at anthesis (reportedly $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $A$. boreale vs. 3.5-4.5 mm for $A$. virginianum), smaller corolla limb diameter ( $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), maximum length of nutlets ( $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $7-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and maximum leaf width ( $3-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ vs. $5-11 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

We studied 170 digitized herbarium specimens (41 ascribable to $A$. boreale, including a lectotype and two paratypes, and 121 to $A$. virginianum s.s.; Table 1) available through the servers www.canadensys.net (accessed 15 Oct 2016), http://midwestherbaria.org/portal/collections/index.php (accessed 15 Oct 2016), http://intermountainbiota.org/portal/ collections/index.php (accessed 15 Oct 2016), http://midatlanticherbaria.org/portal/collections/index.php (accessed 15 Oct 2016), and JSTOR Global Plants (https://plants.jstor.org/, accessed 15 Oct 2016). To these, we added 40 specimens (14 ascribable to $A$. boreale, and 26 to $A$. virginianum s.s.) from NY herbarium to fill geographic distribution gaps in the sampling from online specimen images.

In order to maximize the amount of useful images, we considered only four characters: calyx total length at anthesis, corolla diameter, calyx total length at fruit, and nutlet length. Interestingly, specimens of the studied species never bear flowers and ripe fruits at the same time. Subtler characters, such as corolla lobes/throat dimensions, were not considered, as they were much more difficult to observe in the digitized herbarium specimens and were not necessary to distinguish the two taxa. In addition, we did not consider the widest leaves in each specimen, as this character greatly depended on the way the specimens had been collected. We took one measurement for each character per specimen.

To graphically evaluate differences between the two taxa, we plotted the length of the calyx at anthesis against the corolla width as well as the length of the calyx in fruit against the nutlet total length. We performed a correlation analysis between all pairs of characters to consider the possibility of correlated, and thus genetically redundant, variation. Analyses were performed in Microsoft Excel 2016 MSO. Additionally, box plots and density comparisons were undertaken in JMP v12.1.

We tested statistically for differences in the four selected characters between the two groups. As most the variables did not fit a normal distribution, we performed a Mann-Whitney $U$ test using SYSTAT 11 (Richmond, California), and Wilcoxon test with JMP. Given that sample sizes where large enough ( $n>20$ ), significance was tested with a $Z$-test.

## Results

Nutlet length was the character that presented the least overlap between the two taxa, followed by calyx length at anthesis, calyx length in fruit, and corolla diameter (Table 2). Differences in the length of the calyx between anthesis and fructification in both taxa are inconclusive and seem to indicate that the calyx may or may be not slightly accrescent depending on each particular situation. When calyx length at anthesis was plotted against corolla diameter, samples of both taxa where arranged without overlap between individuals of the two taxa (Fig.1). When calyx length in fruit was plotted against nutlet length, not only the taxa did not overlap, but a visible gap is apparent (Fig. 1). The two pairs of characters plotted in each case did not present significant correlation ( $\mathrm{r}<0.3$ ). Box plots and density comparisons of the four characters, for the two species, demonstrate some overlap between the two species. In general, the lower limit for the investigated morphological characters of $A$. virginianum is about the upper limit for $A$. boreale (Figs. 2 and 3). However, measurements of the diagnostic characters, in combination, separate the two species. Accordingly, the Mann-Whitney $U$ test and the Wilcoxon test revealed significant differences in Z-test for the four paired comparisons for a $P<0.001$.
TABLE 1. Studied specimens and measurements of Andersonglossum virginianum and A. boreale. Herbarium abbreviations provided are according to Index herbariorum (http://sweetgum.nybg. org/science/ih/, accessed 10 Nov 2016). Herbarium barcode numbers are provided; if barcode was not available, then collector and collection number or date are cited. Abbreviations of U.S. states and Canadian provinces follow standard postal codes. Measurements are provided in mm .

| Andersonglossum boreale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | State/Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | State/ <br> Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Fruit length |
| ALBC | 1728 | MI | Emmet | 1.9 | 7.6 | A | 96670 (Lectotype) | QC |  | 2.4 | 4.8 |
| MSC | 201513 | MI |  | 1.5 | 3.1 | A | 96671 (Lectotype) | QC |  | 2.1 | 4.6 |
| MSC | 201524 | MI | Keweenaw | 1.0 | 5.6 | ALBC | 1729 | MI | Emmet | 2.6 | 4.6 |
| MSC | 201525 | MI | Crawford | 1.5 | 4.6 | MSC | 201518 | MI | Chippewa | 2.6 | 4.6 |
| MSC | 201526 | MI | Otsego | 2.6 | 3.6 | MSC | 201522 | MI | Ontonagon | 2.3 | 3.9 |
| MSC | 201528 | MI | Keweenaw | 1.5 | 3.6 | MSC | 201523 | MI | Keweenaw | 2.0 | 3.8 |
| MSC | 201531 | MI | Otsego | 2.1 | 4.6 | MT | 14242 | QC |  | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| MSC | 201577 | MI | Baraga | 2.6 | 3.6 | NY | Barnhart 1725 | NY | Malborough | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| MT | 2002 | QC |  | 1.8 | 3.6 | NY | DeWitt Miller 1274 | NJ | West Milford | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| MT | 2008 | QC |  | 1.4 | 3.6 | NY | DeWitt Miller 1276 | NJ | West Milford | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| NY | Bumstead/ 5Jun1871 | NY |  | 2.0 | 4.0 | NY | DeWitt Miller 1277 | NJ | West Milford | 1.9 | 4.5 |
| NY | Merrill/ <br> 5 Jun 1898 (Paratype) | ME | Orono | 2.5 | 4.0 | NY | Gleason 9667 | MI |  | 1.9 | 4.5 |
| NY | Nash 995 | NJ | Sussex | 1.5 | 4.2 | NY | Grant 2715 | MN | Clearwater | 1.5 | 4.2 |
| NY | Victorin 8406 | QC |  | 2.0 | 4.0 | NY | Johnson/ 26Jun1921 | NY |  | 2.3 | 4.2 |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Andersonglossum boreale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | State/Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | State/ <br> Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Fruit <br> length |
| OAC | 554 | ON |  | 2.0 | 4.5 | NY | Shurtleff s.n. | ME | Harrison | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| OAC | 13444 | ON |  | 1.0 | 4.0 | NY | Vail/ 29Jun1897 | MA | Berkshire | 1.8 | 3.6 |
| OAC | 13457 | ON | Lambton | 1.5 | 4.0 | OAC | 555 | ON |  | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| OAC | 13458 | ON |  | 1.5 | 4.5 | OAC | 13459 | ON |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| OAC | 25604 | ON | Lambton | 2.0 | 2.5 | OAC | 28273 | ON |  | 1.5 | 3.5 |
| OAC | 28277 | ON |  | 1.5 | 4.0 | OAC | 35528 | ON |  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| OAC | 35617 | ON |  | 1.5 | 6.0 | WIN | 17331 | MB |  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| OAC | 51320 | ON | Bruce | 1.5 | 4.0 | WIN | 17333 | MB |  | 1.7 | 4.0 |
| OAC | 70823 | ON |  | 1.5 | 5.5 | WIN | 35225 | MB |  | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| WIN | 28829 | MB |  | 1.9 | 4.5 | WIN | 36218 | MB |  | 1.5 | 4.5 |
| WIN | 35736 | MB |  | 1.9 | 3.8 | WIN | 53326 | MB |  | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| WIN | 42980 | MB |  | 1.9 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIN | 47851 | MB |  | 1.9 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIN | 47852 | MB |  | 2.3 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIN | 54731 | MB |  | 1.1 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Andersonglossum virginianum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | State/Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statel } \\ & \text { Prov } \end{aligned}$ | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calyx } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Fruit <br> length |
| BOON | 11998 | NC | Watauga | 3.4 | 6.9 | BOON | 17398 | NC | Ashe | 3.1 | 6.6 |
| BOON | 11999 | NC | Watauga | 2.3 | 6.6 | BOON | 23491 | NC | Alleghani | 2.6 | 5.7 |
| BOON | 12006 | GA | Walker | 3.7 | 6.9 | DES | 13252 | LA | Caldwell | 3.3 | 7.1 |
| BOON | 17397 | NC | Ashe | 2.9 | 6.3 | DSC | 101909 | MS | Yazoo | 4.2 | 6.3 |
| BOON | 23490 | NC | Alleghani | 2.9 | 6.0 | DSC | 101911 | AL | Convington | 2.9 | 6.3 |
| DSC | 101912 | MS | Grenada | 3.3 | 7.5 | DSC | 101914 | MS | Tallahatchie | 3.8 | 6.7 |
| EIU | 15320 | IL | Pope | 2.9 | 6.7 | DSC | 101917 | AL | Henry | 3.9 | 6.1 |
| GA | 63791 | GA | Bibb | 4.4 | 10.9 | EIU | 15311 | IL | Lawrence | 4.2 | 6.1 |
| GA | 63803 | GA | Bartow | 2.2 | 8.0 | EIU | 15312 | IL | Hamilton | 3.9 | 6.8 |
| GA | 63809 | GA | Houston | 2.2 | 9.5 | EIU | 15314 | IL | Crawford | 4.2 | 6.5 |
| GA | 63825 | GA | Murray | 2.6 | 6.7 | EIU | 15319 | IL | Johnson | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| GA | 63827 | GA | Clay | 2.9 | 9.5 | EIU | 15324 | IN | Lawrence | 3.2 | 5.5 |
| GA | 63913 | GA | Jones | 3.0 | 7.8 | EIU | 15325 | WV | Kanawha | 5.8 | 7.7 |
| GA | 83823 | GA | Floyd | 2.5 | 7.3 | EIU | 15327 | IN | Spencer | 2.9 | 6.1 |
| GA | 84007 | GA | Putnam | 2.5 | 9.5 | GA | 63793 | GA | Walker | 3.5 | 6.8 |
| GA | 160306 | GA | Houston | 3.3 | 11.7 | GA | 63797 | GA | Clay | 4.3 | 7.7 |
| GA | 161112 | GA | Houston | 3.0 | 9.7 | GA | 63811 | GA | Houston | 3.7 | 7.3 |
| GMUF | 32155 | VA | Fairfax | 3.2 | 8.1 | GA | 63814 | GA | Dade | 2.6 | 7.4 |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Andersonglossum virginianum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | State/Prov | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calyx } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State/ } \\ & \text { Prov } \end{aligned}$ | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calyx } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Fruit <br> length |
| GMUF | 32156 | VA | Dinwiddie | 5.5 | 10.0 | GA | 63822 | GA | Hall | 3.0 | 6.5 |
| GMUF | 32159 | VA | Culpeper | 3.9 | 10.0 | GA | 63826 | GA | Dawson | 3.5 | 7.4 |
| GMUF | 32169 | VA | Loudoun | 3.5 | 7.4 | GA | 206685 | GA | Cobb | 2.6 | 7.0 |
| GMUF | 32179 | VA | Warren | 3.5 | 11.9 | GMUF | 32157 | VA | Dickenson | 3.2 | 7.4 |
| GMUF | 32180 | VA | Westmoreland | 3.5 | 8.1 | GMUF | 32163 | VA | Orange | 3.5 | 7.4 |
| HX | 1502 | AR | Cleburne | 3.0 | 11.3 | GMUF | 32168 | VA | Louisa | 4.5 | 6.5 |
| LSU | 34029 | LA | Rapides | 3.0 | 9.1 | GMUF | 32170 | VA | Lee | 3.0 | 7.4 |
| LSU | 34030 | LA | West Feliciana | 3.0 | 8.3 | GMUF | 32172 | VA | Lee | 4.3 | 6.5 |
| LSU | 34033 | LA | West Feliciana | 3.0 | 9.6 | GMUF | 32173 | VA | James City | 4.3 | 5.2 |
| MISSA | 6412 | MS | Oktibbeha | 3.5 | 9.6 | GMUF | 32181 | VA | Stafford | 3.9 | 7.8 |
| MISSA | 31944 | MS | Oktibbeha | 3.9 | 10.0 | GMUF | 32184 | VA | Rappahannock | 2.6 | 5.7 |
| MUHW | 19801 | AR | Newton | 3.5 | 10.3 | HX | 1501 | AR | Phillips | 3.5 | 6.1 |
| MUHW | 19803 | WV | Cabell | 2.9 | 7.7 | HX | 1503 | AR | Lee | 2.9 | 6.1 |
| MUHW | 19806 | WV | Calhoun | 4.2 | 10.6 | KNK | 21973000006700 | AL | Russell | 3.2 | 6.5 |
| MUHW | 19808 | WV | Huntington | 2.9 | 8.7 | KNK | 31973000006701 | KY | Lewis | 2.9 | 6.5 |
| NCSC | 20807 | OH | Coshocton | 2.4 | 7.1 | KNK | 31973000006702 | KY | Pendleton | 6.2 | 8.6 |
| NCSC | 20815 | WV | Wirt | 2.7 | 8.6 | KNK | 31973000006703 | AR | Madison | 3.8 | 7.6 |
| NCSC | 20817 | TN | Shelby | 2.7 | 9.4 | LSU | 34024 | LA | East Baton Rouge | 4.3 | 6.7 |
| NY | Carey/ <br> 4Jun1842 | NJ | Fort Lee | 3.0 | 10.0 | LSU | 34028 | LA | Natchitoches | 3.8 | 6.7 |


TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Andersonglossum virginianum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | State/Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | State/ <br> Prov | County | Calyx <br> length | Fruit length |
| NY | Yuncker et al. 10381 | IN | Putnam | 3.0 | 8.0 | NY | Eggert/ 13Jun 1879 | MO | Eureka | 4.7 | 8.7 |
| UARK | 14789 | AR | Baxter | 3.0 | 7.7 | NY | French/ 26Jun 1878 | IL | Jackson | 3.7 | 7.0 |
| UARK | 14802 | AR | Cleburne | 3.3 | 7.0 | NY | Grimes/ 12Aug1911 | IN | Owen | 4.0 | 7.0 |
| UARK | 14805 | AR | Conway | 3.3 | 8.3 | NY | Wilmintong sn | DE |  | 3.3 | 6.0 |
| UARK | 14811 | AR | Franklin | 3.3 | 8.0 | UARK | 14792 | AR | Boone | 4.3 | 6.7 |
| UARK | 14812 | AR | Garland | 3.7 | 6.7 | UARK | 14793 | AR | Boone | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| UARK | 14818 | AR | Independence | 3.0 | 12.0 | UARK | 14799 | AR | Clark | 2.7 | 7.0 |
| UARK | 14831 | AR | Logan | 3.3 | 9.3 | UARK | 14800 | AR | Clark | 4.4 | 8.1 |
| UARK | 14836 | AR | Monroe | 3.3 | 10.0 | UARK | 14801 | AR | Clay | 5.2 | 7.0 |
| UARK | 14844 | AR | Pike | 2.7 | 8.0 | UARK | 14803 | AR | Cleburne | 4.1 | 5.9 |
| UARK | 14850 | AR | Pope | 2.3 | 7.7 | UARK | 14804 | AR | Conway | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| UARK | 14855 | AR | Washington | 4.3 | 10.0 | UARK | 14807 | AR | Cross | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| UARK | 14856 | AR | Washington | 3.0 | 8.7 | UARK | 14808 | AR | Cross | 3.6 | 8.0 |
| UARK | 48520 | AR | Franklin | 4.3 | 7.3 | UARK | 14815 | AR | Hempstead | 3.3 | 8.4 |
| UCA | 4489 | AR | Montgomery | 4.1 | 10.3 | UARK | 14817 | AR | Howard | 3.0 | 6.0 |
| UCA | 4490 | AR | Montgomery | 3.4 | 7.6 | UARK | 14821 | AR | Jefferson | 5.0 | 6.0 |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Andersonglossum virginianum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flowering dataset |  |  |  |  |  | Fruiting dataset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbarium | Barcode number / <br> Voucher | State/Prov | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calyx } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Corolla diameter | Herbarium | Barcode number / Voucher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statel } \\ & \text { Prov } \end{aligned}$ | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calyx } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Fruit <br> length |
| UCA | 4571 | AR | Montgomery | 3.8 | 8.3 | UARK | 14824 | AR | Johnson | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| USCS | 7158 | SC | Cherokee | 2.7 | 8.7 | UARK | 14826 | AR | Lee | 2.7 | 5.5 |
| USCS | 7159 | SC | Cherokee | 3.3 | 7.7 | UARK | 14829 | AR | Little River | 3.5 | 6.7 |
| VSC | 2873 | GA | Houston | 2.4 | 11.0 | UARK | 14835 | AR | Madison | 3.9 | 6.7 |
| VSC | 17111 | MS | Winston | 3.1 | 8.6 | UARK | 14838 | AR | Montgomery | 3.5 | 6.0 |
| VSC | 61920 | GA | Houston | 2.7 | 9.0 | UARK | 14852 | AR | Searcy | 3.0 | 6.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UARK | 14853 | AR | Sevier | 3.0 | 7.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | USCS | 7162 | SC | Laurens | 3.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | USCS | 7166 | SC | York | 3.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | USMS | 8179 | MI | Alger | 4.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | VSC | 17107 | GA | Dawson | 3.0 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | VSC | 17112 | WV | Wetzel | 3.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | VSC | 17117 | LA | Caldwell | 3.0 | 6.5 |




FIGURE 1. Scatter plot of the measurements of calyx length at anthesis vs. corolla diameter (upper graph), and calyx length in fruit vs. nutlet length (lower graph). Red dots represent Andersonglossum boreale, blue dots represent Andersonglossum virginianum.

TABLE 2. Summary statistics for measured characters of Andersonglossum boreale and $A$. virginianum [number of studied individuals $n$, mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ (minimum-maximum)] from $A$. boreale and $A$. virginianum. Measurements are provided in mm .

| Character | Andersonglossum boreale | Andersonglossum virginianum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calyx length (anthesis) | $n=29$ | $n=75$ |
|  | $1.8 \pm 0.4(1.0-2.6)$ | $3.2 \pm 0.6(2.2-5.5)$ |
| Calyx length (fruit) | $n=26$ | $n=80$ |
|  | $2.1 \pm 0.4(1.5-3.0)$ | $3.6 \pm 0.8(2.0-6.2)$ |
| Corolla diameter | $n=29$ | $n=75$ |
|  | $4.3 \pm 1.0(2.5-7.6)$ | $8.3 \pm 1.8(6.0-12.0)$ |
| Nutlet length | $n=26$ | $n=80$ |
|  | $4.2 \pm 0.4(3.5-5.0)$ | $6.6 \pm 0.8(5.0-8.7)$ |

## Discussion

Our approach revealed clear differences between the two taxa. They do not present wide overlap in their diagnostic characters, as previously alleged (Cooperrider 1984). Since the measurements provided by Fernald (1950), no work has ever carefully evaluated the variation in the diagnostic characters for these two taxa. It seems that variation wider than expected regarding corolla diameter and sepal length at various stages of development may have misled authors, considering the two taxa to be overlapping in the overall diagnostic characters. However, our study reveals that the combination of the four considered features are sufficient to clearly distinguish the two species. Revisionism in the North American flora is rare outside the context of monographic works in the taxonomy of particular plant groups.

Local floras tend to accept taxonomy as reported in previous works, and many past floristic treatments present an inflation of taxa at varietal rank (Ellison et al. 2014). Straightforward analyses, such as the ones presented herein, would help to objectively evaluate the limits between taxa rather than just relying on opinions of floristic authors.

The value of digitized specimens for taxonomic purposes is revealed in this work, which uses a majority of online specimens for the evaluation of taxonomic limits. Indeed, without these online resources, our work would have necessitated loans of herbarium specimens. The opportunity to study the imaged materials housed at several repositories presents a valuable and convenient source of data that should be considered by researchers, enabling a faster way of conducting taxonomic work.


FIGURE 2. Box plots for two species for calyx length at anthesis (top-left), calyx length during fruiting (top-right), nutlet length (bottomleft), and corolla diameter (bottom-right), $y$-axis is in mm .

## Taxonomic treatment

Andersonglossum boreale (Fernald) Jim.Mejías, J.I.Cohen \& Naczi, comb. nov. Basionym:-Cynoglossum boreale Fernald (1905, Rhodora 7: 250).

Lectotype (designated by Cohen 2015: 618):-CANADA. Quebec: Little Cascapedia River, 17 July 1905, E. F. Williams, J. F. Collins, \& M. L. Fernald s. n. (GH, photo!).
$=$ Cynoglossum virginianum var. boreale (Fernald) Cooperrider (1984: 166).
$=$ Cynoglossum virginianum subsp. boreale (Fernald) A.Haines (2010: 3).

- Andersonglossum boreale (Fernald) J.I.Cohen (2015: 618), nom. inval.

Observations:-Andersonglossum boreale is a species ecologically linked to boreal conifer forests and mixed northern forests. It is widespread in northern North America, with a transcontinental distribution (see http://plants.usda.gov/, accessed 15 Oct 2016), spreading in Canada from Nova Scotia to British Columbia and Yukon, and reaching its southernmost limit in the states of New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Indiana, Wisconsin, Iowa, and South Dakota, although
many of these stations are believed to be extirpated (see http://explorer.natureserve.org/, accessed 15 Oct 2016). On the contrary, A. virginianum is a southeastern North American species, occurring in temperate broadleaf forests. It is known only from the U.S.A., spreading from Connecticut west to Illinois, Missouri and Oklahoma, south to Florida and Texas. It co-occurs (or co-occurred) with $A$. boreale through a narrow strip in the states of Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York across the Appalachians, and Ohio and Indiana south of the Great Lakes region.


FIGURE 3. Density comparisons for two species for calyx length at anthesis (top-left), calyx length at fruiting (top-right), nutlet length (bottomleft), and corolla diameter (bottom right). Red is Andersonglossum boreale, and blue is Andersonglossum virginianum, x -axis is in mm.

## Identification key

The following key allows the identification of the three species of the genus Andersonglossum.


1. Corolla blue to white; style $<3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
. 2
2. Nutlets 3.5-5 mm long; calyx lobes $1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (from the pedicel insertion point to the apices of the lobes); corolla 2.5-6.3(7.6) mm wide. $\qquad$ .A. boreale
3. Nutlets 5-8.7 mm long, calyx lobes $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$, slightly accrescent up to 6.2 mm in fruit; corolla 6-12(16) mm wide
A. virginianum
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